

LINGUISTIC FEATURES OF CONCEPTUAL METAPHOR

Sagatova Muborak Payzidinovna
Senior Teacher, UzJMCU
sagatovamuborak6226@gmail.com

Abstract

Conceptual metaphors are central to how we structure and communicate abstract thoughts and complex experiences. These metaphors do not merely involve figurative language but are rooted in the very way humans think. As George Lakoff and Mark Johnson (1980) argue in their seminal work *Metaphors We Live By*, metaphors are not just a matter of linguistic expression; they shape how we conceptualize the world. This article explores the linguistic features of conceptual metaphors, outlining how these metaphors are structured, realized in language, and function in communication.

Keywords: Conceptual metaphors, linguistic features, cognitive process, cognitive mappings, components, structural mapping, lexical choices, syntactic structure

Introduction

A conceptual metaphor involves understanding one domain of experience (the target domain) in terms of another, more familiar domain (the source domain). For example, in the metaphor “time is money,” time (the target domain) is understood in terms of money (the source domain). This structure reflects a common cognitive process where abstract ideas are grounded in more concrete and measurable experiences. Lakoff and Johnson (1980) identify a central distinction in conceptual metaphors: they are not confined to a particular linguistic expression but represent larger cognitive mappings between domains. These mappings help individuals navigate complex or abstract domains by drawing on more familiar knowledge.

Conceptual metaphors typically consist of three components:

1. Source domain: The more concrete or familiar domain.
2. Target domain: The more abstract or complex concept being understood.
3. Mapping: The cognitive process that links features of the source domain to the target domain.

For example, in the metaphor “*love is a journey*,” the source domain is “journey” (a concrete, physical experience), while the target domain is “love” (a complex emotional experience). The mapping involves associating the various aspects of a journey (e.g., obstacles, direction, destination) with aspects of a romantic relationship.

Conceptual metaphors are realized linguistically through various structures and expressions in language. The realization of a conceptual metaphor is the way its mapping between the source and target domains is expressed in everyday speech or writing. This realization can



occur in several linguistic forms, including lexical choices, syntactic structures, and idiomatic expressions.

1. *Metaphor as a Structural Mapping*

The most straightforward way that conceptual metaphors are realized in language is through structural mappings. This occurs when one domain is systematically structured in terms of another. For example, in the metaphor “argument is war,” the source domain (war) is mapped onto the target domain (argument) through a series of linguistic expressions. Examples: • “*I’ve won this argument.*” • “*He attacked my position.*” • “*She defended her viewpoint.*” Each of these phrases reflects an aspect of war (winning, attacking, defending) applied to the abstract concept of an argument. The linguistic expressions help to conceptualize argumentation in terms of a battle, influencing how individuals approach and engage in discussions.

2. *Metaphor as an Orientational Mapping*

Some conceptual metaphors involve orientational mappings, where spatial or directional terms are used to understand abstract concepts. These metaphors involve basic bodily orientations (e.g., up/down, in/out, front/back) that are extended to more abstract domains. In English, for instance, “up” is often associated with positive states (e.g., happy, successful), while “down” tends to represent negative states (e.g., depressed, unsuccessful). Examples: • “*I’m feeling down today.*” • “*Things are looking up for her.*” These expressions realize the conceptual metaphor “good is up” and “bad is down.” The use of spatial orientations as a metaphorical framework helps structure our understanding of emotional or social states.

3. *Metaphor as a Container Mapping*

Another common type of conceptual metaphor is the container metaphor, in which concepts or objects are understood as having boundaries, interiors, and exteriors. This metaphor is often expressed linguistically through prepositions such as “in,” “out,” “inside,” and “outside.” Examples: • “*She’s in a good mood.*” • “*He was trapped in his own thoughts.*” • “*He feels out of place.*” In these cases, the metaphor “mind is a container” is realized through expressions that describe mental states as being inside or outside certain boundaries. This reflects how we conceptualize our minds, emotions, and thoughts as containers that can hold or restrict certain ideas or feelings.

Many idiomatic expressions are based on conceptual metaphors. These idioms, often deeply embedded in a culture’s linguistic system, demonstrate how metaphors shape everyday language. For example, the English idiom “a flood of emotions” reflects the conceptual metaphor “emotion is liquid,” where emotional experiences are conceptualized as substances that can overflow or be contained.

Examples:

- “*Time is money*”: This common metaphor highlights how time is conceptualized as a valuable commodity, often reflected in idioms like “spending time,” “saving time,” or “wasting time.”
- “*Life is a journey*”: This conceptual metaphor is realized in phrases such as “a crossroads in life,” “a long road ahead,” or “starting a new chapter.”



• *“Love is a battlefield”*: This metaphor is realized in phrases like “fighting for love,” “losing the battle,” or “winning her heart.”

These idiomatic expressions illustrate how conceptual metaphors shape language and frame the way people think about various aspects of life, from emotions to relationships.

While metaphors are most often realized at the level of lexical choice, they can also influence syntax. The syntactic structure of a sentence can reflect the conceptual structure of a metaphor, particularly in how the relationship between the source and target domains is organized. For example, in the metaphor “time is money,” the syntactic structure can be realized by treating time as a physical object that can be used or spent. Examples: • *“I don’t have enough time to waste.”* • *“I spent all my time on that project.”* Here, the syntactic structures reflect the conceptual metaphor of “time as a resource.” Time is treated as if it were money, with phrases like “spending” and “wasting” time directly mapping to the actions associated with money.

Conceptual metaphors are not only linguistic but also deeply embedded in culture and cognition. They reflect the ways that different cultures understand and experience the world. For instance, while the metaphor *“time is money”* is prevalent in Western cultures, other cultures may have different metaphors for time that reflect different cognitive frameworks.

In some cultures, time may be understood as cyclical (e.g., in many Indigenous cultures or in Eastern philosophies), leading to metaphors like “time is a circle” or “life is a cycle,” which contrasts with the linear, progressive view of time common in Western societies. Understanding these cultural variations in conceptual metaphors can offer insight into the ways different societies conceptualize abstract ideas like time, love, and even politics.

Conceptual metaphors play a significant role in communication, not only by shaping how people understand the world but also by influencing how they interpret and react to events. They are especially important in persuasive discourse, such as political speeches, advertising, and media.

Politicians, for instance, often use metaphors to frame issues in particular ways, shaping public opinion through strategic metaphors like “the war on drugs” or “the fight against terrorism.” Such metaphors structure the way people perceive the stakes of an issue and how they emotionally respond.

The linguistic features of conceptual metaphors are diverse and multifaceted, including structural mappings, orientational mappings, and container mappings. These features are realized through lexical choices, idiomatic expressions, and syntactic structures that help communicate complex or abstract ideas in concrete, accessible ways. Conceptual metaphors not only shape language but also influence cognition and culture, providing a lens through which individuals understand their world. Understanding the linguistic features of conceptual metaphors can offer insights into how language reflects and shapes human thought, communication, and societal values.



References:

1. Lakoff, G. (1993). The Contemporary Theory of Metaphor. In A. Ortony (Ed.), *Metaphor and Thought* (2nd ed., pp. 202-251). Cambridge University Press.
2. Gibbs, R. W. (2008). *The Cambridge Handbook of Metaphor and Thought*. Cambridge University Press.
3. Gibbs, R. W. (1994). *The Poetics of Mind: Figurative Thought, Language, and Understanding*. Cambridge University Press.
4. Lakoff, G., & Johnson, M. (1999). *Philosophy in the Flesh: The Embodied Mind and Its Challenge to Western Thought*. Basic Books.

