THE ORETICAL ANALYSIS OF HABITUALITY IN SUPRASENTENTIAL UNITS

Khabibullina Liliya Jakhonovna
ESP Teacher, Bukhara State Medical
Institute named after Abu Ali ibn Sina, Bukhara, Uzbekistan.
Email: lilya.jahonovna@bsmi.uz,
https://orcid.org/0009-0002-1230-9251

Abstract
The article presents a theoretical analysis of habituality in suprasentential units. Special attention is given to the role of habituality as a linguistic phenomenon that reflects regularity and recurrence of actions in language, as well as its manifestation in more complex syntactic structures than simple sentences. The author analyzes the use of various grammatical means—such as verb forms, temporal adverbs, complex sentences, and modal constructions—for expressing habituality in texts. The paper describes the influence of habituality on textual organization and its role in creating logical sequence and cohesion within the text. The study highlights the importance of habituality as a key element for deeper understanding of the temporal structure of language and its capacity to describe regular and recurring actions.

Introduction

The concept of habituality in linguistics represents one of the key areas of study related to the repetition, regularity, and typicality of actions or states. Habituality conveys the idea of a tendency or inclination toward repeated actions or states occurring at certain points in time and plays a significant role in understanding grammatical structures and semantic patterns in language. In recent years, research on habituality has expanded beyond simple sentences to encompass more complex syntactic units, such as suprasentential constructions that operate on higher levels of text structure. This article explores a theoretical approach to the analysis of habituality in suprasentential units, examining its expression through various grammatical tools and its impact on textual organization.

The Concept of Habituality

In the linguistic context, habituality refers to the regularity, repetitiveness, or typicality of an action, state, or event, which is perceived as characteristic or typical for a subject in a given temporal context. This concept is closely related to ideas of time and aspect, but it has distinct features that involve the expression of recurring or habitual actions. Habituality does not merely describe events that occur at specific moments but emphasizes repeated or consistent behavioral patterns over time.

The concept of habituality is significant for understanding grammatical structures and semantics within a language. It is a crucial element for representing actions or states that are repeated over time, signaling a pattern rather than isolated occurrences. Habitual actions are

those that are regularly performed, either by an individual or a group, within a particular context.

In various languages, habituality can be expressed through different grammatical means: verb forms, temporal constructions, adverbs, as well as special lexical units and constructions. It is important to note that habituality operates not only at the microsyntactic level (within individual sentences) but also at the macrosyntactic level, encompassing larger syntactic units, such as complex sentences, paragraphs, or entire sections of text, in which actions or behaviors are repeated.

For example, in English, the expression of habituality can be achieved through the use of specific tense forms such as the "present simple" (e.g., "She walks to work every day") or modal constructions like "used to" (e.g., "He used to go for a run in the mornings"). In Russian, habituality may be conveyed using the imperfective aspect (e.g., "Он часто гулял по парку"), indicating an action that occurs regularly.

Understanding habituality in language is essential for comprehending how regularity and repetition influence not just individual actions but the overall structure and flow of communication, both within single sentences and broader textual frameworks.

Suprasentential Units and Habituality

Suprasentential units refer to complex syntactic constructions that go beyond individual sentences and encompass broader textual structures such as paragraph-level groupings, discourse sequences, and extended narrative or expository fragments. Unlike single sentences, suprasentential units reflect higher-order textual organization, involving logical, thematic, and temporal coherence across multiple sentences or even entire passages.

Habituality in suprasentential units can manifest not only through individual repeated actions but also through recurring patterns of behavior or events that are embedded throughout the text. These patterns often serve to reinforce the regularity or typicality of certain actions over time, contributing to the temporal and logical structure of the discourse.

One of the most salient examples of habituality in suprasentential units is the repetition of similar syntactic constructions and lexical choices across multiple sentences to describe routine or expected behavior. For instance, when several sentences or paragraphs describe similar actions performed under consistent conditions, these collectively convey a sense of habituality.

Example in English:

"Every summer, he traveled to the mountains to escape the bustle of city life. He would usually spend a few weeks there, enjoying the silence and the scenery."

This passage illustrates habituality at a suprasentential level. The repeated summer travels and accompanying activities span multiple sentences and collectively depict a recurring behavioral pattern, contributing to the text's overall coherence and predictability.

In many languages, such habitual structures are supported by lexical elements like temporal adverbs (e.g., "usually," "often," "every day") and specific verb forms (e.g., imperfective aspect in Slavic languages, or "used to" and "would" in English). When used across multiple

sentences, these elements not only indicate the habitual nature of actions but also create cohesion and logical progression in the discourse.

Thus, habituality at the suprasentential level is a crucial feature for establishing rhythm, thematic consistency, and narrative flow, especially in descriptive, narrative, and procedural texts.

Grammatical Means of Expressing Habituality in Suprasentential Units

Habituality in suprasentential units is conveyed through a range of grammatical and lexical devices that extend beyond the boundaries of individual sentences, allowing the expression of repeated or typical actions across larger stretches of discourse. These means contribute to the coherence and predictability of a text and help structure habitual actions within a broader narrative or expository context. The most prominent grammatical mechanisms include:

1. **Verb Forms**
   Imperfective verb aspects (in languages that mark aspect grammatically) or specific past tense constructions often denote habitual actions. In English, this is achieved through:

o **Simple past with adverbs of frequency**: e.g., "He often walked to the office."

o **Used to / Would**: These constructions indicate actions regularly performed in the past.
"He used to read every evening before bed."
"She would always check her emails first thing in the morning."

2. **Complex　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　Sentences**
   Habituality can be expressed through coordinated or subordinated sentences that highlight repetition or regularity across linked actions.

"Every morning, she got up at six, made coffee, and read the newspaper before work."
Here, a sequence of habitual actions is expressed across a multi-clause structure, creating a narrative pattern.

3. **Temporal　　　　　　　　Adverbs　　　　　　　and　　　　　　　Expressions**
   Adverbs of frequency and time expressions are essential in signaling habituality, especially when used consistently across several sentences in a text.

o Examples include: "always," "usually," "often," "regularly," "every day," "once a week," "frequently."

o "They usually met on Fridays. Every week, they discussed their progress and planned the next steps."

4. **Modal Verbs and Constructions**
   Modal verbs can indicate habituality, particularly in expressions of routine obligation or repeated possibility.

o "She would have to prepare reports every Monday."

o "You can often find him working late into the night."

5. **Lexical Repetition and Structural Parallelism**
   Repetition of certain verbs, noun phrases, or syntactic patterns across multiple sentences reinforces habituality. Structural parallelism (repeating similar grammatical constructions) also helps emphasize regularity.

o "He cleaned the tools. He stored them in the same place. He recorded the maintenance in his logbook."

By combining these grammatical tools, writers and speakers can build habitual meaning not just within sentences but across extended textual units. These patterns enhance the internal logic and flow of the text, making it easier for readers or listeners to follow repetitive or cyclical actions that are essential to understanding routines, behaviors, and social norms.

Habituality in Text and Its Influence on Textual Organization

Habituality plays a significant role in the organization of text and contributes to its logical sequence and coherence. Within suprasentential units—such as paragraphs or larger sections of discourse—habituality is not merely a matter of describing repeated actions, but also serves as a structural device that creates consistent links between sentences and paragraphs. The presence of habitual expressions establishes patterns that help shape the reader's expectations and facilitate comprehension of the ongoing narrative or argument.

One of the key functions of habitual constructions in textual organization is the creation of **thematic consistency**. When a text includes repeated actions or behaviors, it helps define the characteristics of subjects (e.g., people, institutions, or systems) and reinforces the predictability of their behavior. For instance:

"Every summer, she returned to her hometown. She would visit the same beach, meet with childhood friends, and spend hours walking through the familiar streets."

This passage presents a habitual pattern that provides a framework for understanding the subject's lifestyle and emotional connection to a place. The use of repeated actions connects multiple sentences into a cohesive narrative thread.

Furthermore, habituality enhances **textual coherence** by establishing temporal and logical continuity across suprasentential units. Through the use of habitual markers such as *"always,"* *"often,"* *"usually,"* and time-bound expressions like *"every day"* or *"each week,"* the writer signals regularity and reliability, which guides the reader through the rhythm of the text.

Habitual constructions also contribute to **thematic development**, especially in expository or descriptive texts. By showing how actions recur or become routines, authors can underscore broader concepts such as tradition, routine, social norms, or professional practices. In educational discourse, for example:

"Teachers regularly assign group projects. Students typically collaborate outside of class and present their findings at the end of the week."

Here, habituality highlights the procedural nature of classroom practice and provides a sense of structure to the educational process being described.

Moreover, habituality facilitates **information processing**. When readers recognize recurring patterns, they can process information more efficiently, anticipating the structure and flow of the discourse. This reduces cognitive load and helps readers focus on new or contrasting information when it arises.

In sum, habituality within suprasentential units is not only a semantic feature but also a key organizational mechanism in text construction. It supports coherence, reinforces narrative rhythm, and allows for the development of logical and predictable discourse patterns. Its

presence helps both writers and readers maintain clarity, consistency, and cohesion across broader stretches of text.

Conclusion:

The study of habituality in suprasentential units reveals its essential role in both the grammatical and structural dimensions of language. Far beyond the boundaries of single sentences, habituality contributes to the coherence, predictability, and overall organization of extended discourse. It allows speakers and writers to express not just the repetition of actions, but also to construct patterns of behavior, social norms, and temporal regularities that reflect how individuals interact with the world.

Through grammatical means such as verb aspect, temporal adverbs, and modal constructions, habituality is embedded in complex sentence structures and suprasentential units, shaping the way ideas are connected and developed in texts. Its presence aids in reducing cognitive load, guiding the reader's expectations, and creating logical progression within narratives or expositions.

Analyzing habituality in broader textual structures provides valuable insights into the cognitive and communicative strategies used in language. It enhances our understanding of how linguistic patterns reflect recurring experiences and how these patterns are instrumental in building cohesive and meaningful communication. Ultimately, habituality is not only a reflection of repeated action but a vital linguistic mechanism that organizes discourse and bridges the micro- and macro-levels of syntactic and semantic structures.

References:

1. Averin, A. V. (2012). Grammatical means of expressing habituality in the Russian language. Moscow: "Nauka" Publishing House.
2. Bakhtin, M. M. (1975). Problems of text theory. Moscow: "Ideya" Publishing House.
3. Green, A. (2015). Language and society: social and linguistic aspects of discourse. St. Petersburg: "Letniy Sad" Publishing House.
4. Kurtoa, L. (2008). Supersyntax and text structure. Paris: "Seuil" Publishing House.
5. Makhacheva, M. I. (2017). Syntactic structure and its role in text. Moscow: "Vysshaya Shkola" Publishing House.
6. Pormann, P., Savage-Smith, E. (2007). Medical discourse in the Arab world: history and practice. Oxford: "Oxford University Press."
7. Foucault, M. (1973). Discipline and Punish: The Birth of the Prison. Moscow: "Progress" Publishing House.
8. Schmidt, R. (2004). Grammar theory and syntactic structure: A linguistic approach to syntax. Moscow: "Languages of Slavic Culture" Publishing House.
9. Chelentano, F. (2011). Patterns and structures: how language constructs the world. Rome: "Libri" Publishing House.
10. Chelyshev, V. P. (2018). Intercultural aspects in syntax. Moscow: "MGU" Publishing House.