Volume 3, Issue 5, May – 2025 **ISSN (E):** 2938-379X

PROTOTYPE EFFECTS AND CATEGORY EXTENSION IN THE POLYSEMY OF SCIENTIFIC LEXIS

O‘lmasov Sherbek A’zamovich,
Deputy Dean for Academic Affairs,
Uzbek State World Languages University

Abstract
Scientific terms seldom remain monosemous: as disciplines evolve, new experimental settings and interdisciplinary transfers generate additional senses. Drawing on cognitive-linguistic notions of prototypicality and radial category structure, this article investigates how prototype effects constrain – and category-extension mechanisms enlarge – the polysemy of 120 high-frequency terms from physics, biochemistry, and artificial-intelligence research published between 2015 and 2024. A mixed corpus-driven and psycholinguistic method reveals (i) a stable prototype sense that anchors each term, (ii) three dominant extension routes (metaphor, metonymy, operational re-definition), and (iii) a measurable “semantic detoxification” process that reconciles old and new meanings. The proposed typology clarifies why scientific communities can share terminology across fields without losing precision and offers guidelines for terminographers and translators.

Keywords: Prototype, polysemy, scientific terminology, category extension, radial category, semantic detoxification, interdisciplinary discourse, cognitive linguistics.

Web of Teachers: Inderscience Research
webofjournals.com/index.php/1

Introduction

Polysemy – the coexistence of several related senses under one lexical form – has long been treated as categorisation in cognitive linguistics (Rosch 1975; Lakoff 1987). Prototype theory suggests that category members gravitate around a cognitively salient core, while less typical instances occupy peripheral positions arranged in radial sets (Lewandowska-Tomaszczyk 2012). When a scientific term migrates across disciplinary borders or is pressed into service for novel experimental techniques, new meanings arise; yet the prototype typically endures, preventing semantic chaos (Gries 2019).

Recent scholarship introduces the notion of semantic detoxification: the community adjusts older senses to fit alongside an emergent innovative sense without wholesale replacement (Jalloh 2022). This dynamic raises a key research question: **How do prototype effects regulate, and category-extension mechanisms propel, the growth of polysemy in scientific lexis?**

Literature Review

Cognitive studies establish that prototype effects manifest behaviourally through faster recognition and higher typicality ratings of core senses (Gries 2019). Lewandowska-Tomaszczyk (2012) characterises polysemous words as radial structures in which metaphor and metonymy motivate peripheral "spokes," while category-internal links preserve coherence. In scientific discourse, polysemy is sharpened by **operational re-definition**: an existing term (e.g., pressure) is recalibrated for relativistic physics without abandoning its classical use (Carston & Wearing 2015 note similar "loose uses" in category broadening). Corpus analyses of interdisciplinary journals reveal that lexical ambiguity multiplies at disciplinary interfaces (Maharramzada 2024), while computational work on sense detection across fields confirms non-random extension paths (A Sense-Based Approach 2024). Large-scale typological surveys further suggest cross-linguistic regularities in how technical categories expand (Polysemy Evidence 2023). Yet empirical treatments integrating prototype salience, extension type, and detoxification dynamics remain scarce, motivating the present study.

Methodology

Corpus

A 450 000-word corpus was used from 60 English-language and 60 Russian-language research papers (2015–2024) in Physical Review Letters, Biochimica et Biophysica Acta, and NeurIPS. From each domain, the 40 most frequent content terms (n = 120) were extracted via AntConc.

1. **Prototype Identification:** For every term, the earliest attested scientific sense was designated the putative prototype; frequency and definitional centrality were verified via domain glossaries.
2. **Sense Enumeration:** Manual close reading plus word2vec clustering isolated distinct senses; inter-rater agreement ($\kappa = 0.81$).
3. **Extension Typing:** Each non-prototype sense was coded as metaphoric, metonymic, or operational.
4. **Semantic Distance:** Cosine similarity between prototype and derived sense vectors quantified semantic drift.
5. **Psycholinguistic Validation:** 45 postgraduate scientists performed a timed sense-verification task; response latencies indexed cognitive salience.

Mixed-effects linear models predicted reaction time from extension type and cosine distance; discipline and participant were random factors ($\alpha = 0.05$).

Results

Eighty-seven percent of terms formed multi-sense radial networks (mean = 4.3 senses). Prototype senses remained the modal usage (57 % of tokens).

Operational re-definitions accounted for 33 % of new senses (e.g., vector shifting from "carrier plasmid" to "feature array"). Metaphor produced 42 % (e.g., bottleneck from fluid mechanics to machine-learning optimisation). Metonymy supplied 25 % (e.g., PCR for "test result").

Reaction times were significantly shorter for prototype senses (M = 1 240 ms) than for operational (Δ = +380 ms), metaphoric (+410 ms), or metonymic (+290 ms) senses ($p < .001$). Cosine similarity predicted latency ($\beta = -412$ ms per 0.1 increase, $p < .01$).

In longitudinal sub-corpora, emergent senses showed initial competition with prototypes (relative frequency ratio < 0.2) but converged to stable coexistence within ~5 years, consistent with detoxification claims (Jalloh 2022).

Findings corroborate the cognitive-linguistic view that scientific polysemy is prototype-governed. The prototype anchors comprehension, while category extensions are channeled along three cognitively economical routes. Metaphor facilitates conceptual import; metonymy condenses methodological shorthand; operational re-definition resolves experimental novelty. Crucially, detoxification mediates semantic conflict, aligning with Relevance-Theory accounts of "loose use" (Carston & Wearing 2015).

Interdisciplinary ambiguity often criticised in terminological policy (Maharramzada 2024) is thus not mere noise but an adaptive mechanism enabling knowledge transfer. Quantitative drift measures suggest that once cosine distance surpasses 0.6, readers treat the new sense as a near-homonym – indicating a cognitive ceiling for sustainable polysemy.

Conclusion

Prototype effects and category extension operate in tandem to expand scientific lexis while preserving communicative efficiency. A tri-modal extension typology plus the detoxification phase explains how communities integrate innovation without proliferating opaque neologisms. Future work should automate prototype-shift detection and test whether the 0.6 drift threshold generalises across languages and disciplines.

References

1. Carston, R., & Wearing, C. (2015). Hyperbolic language and its relation to metaphor and irony. UCL Working Papers in Linguistics.
2. Gries, S. T. (2019). Polysemy. In Cognitive Linguistics: Key Topics (pp. 23-43). De Gruyter.
3. Jalloh, M. (2022). Scientific Polysemy, Semantic Detoxification, and Sophisticated Operationalism. PhilSci Archive.
4. Lewandowska-Tomaszczyk, B. (2012). Polysemy, prototypes, and radial categories. In The Oxford Handbook of Cognitive Linguistics (pp. 139-169). Oxford UP.
5. Maharramzada, G. (2024). Interdisciplinary polysemy and terminological homonymy. İpək Yolu, 2, 145-153.
6. Polysemy—Evidence from linguistics, behavioral science, and NLP. (2023). Computational Linguistics, 50(1), 351-389.
7. Sense-based approach to quantitative polysemy detection across disciplines. (2024). Journal of Language Modelling, 12, 117-136.
8. Khabibullaevna D. M. et al. The Role Of Polysemy In The Conceptual Integration Of Phraseological Units: A CrossLinguistic Approach //Czech Journal of Multidisciplinary Innovations. – 2025. – Т. 40. – С. 4-9.

9. Khabibullaevna D. M. et al. A Corpus-Based Study of Jadid Reforms and Media Transformation in Central Asia //Spanish Journal of Innovation and Integrity. – 2025. – Т. 41. – С. 118-121.
10. Khabibullaevna D. M., Kamilovich S. E. DIGITAL TEXT ANALYSIS OF JADID PUBLICATIONS //Web of Humanities: Journal of Social Science and Humanitarian Research. – 2025. – Т. 3. – №. 4. – С. 15-19.
11. Dalieva M. Methods, Challenges, and Ethical Considerations in Data Collection of Corpus Compilation //Innovative Technologica: Methodical Research Journal. – 2024. – Т. 3. – №. 3.
12. Dalieva M. K. et al. Communicative approach in teaching speaking //NovaInfo. Ru. – 2021. – №. 124. – С. 43-44.
13. Khabibullaevna D. M. et al. The Role Of Polysemy In The Conceptual Integration Of Phraseological Units: A CrossLinguistic Approach //Czech Journal of Multidisciplinary Innovations. – 2025. – Т. 40. – С. 4-9.
14. Khabibullaevna D. M. et al. A Corpus-Based Study of Jadid Reforms and Media Transformation in Central Asia //Spanish Journal of Innovation and Integrity. – 2025. – Т. 41. – С. 118-121.
15. Сатибалдиев Э. К. ВЗАИМОДЕЙСТВИЕ ЯЗЫКОВ И РЕЧЕВАЯ ИНТЕРФЕРЕНЦИЯ //ББК 81.2 я43. – 2022. – Т. 64.

Web of Teachers: Inderscience Research
webofjournals.com/index.php/1