

APPLICATION OF BIOPHYSICAL METHODS IN THE DIAGNOSIS OF ONCOLOGICAL DISEASES

Akhmadjonova Shakhzoda

Lecturer at the Department of Biomedical Engineering,
Informatics, and Biophysics Tashkent Medical Academy
shaxzodaisroilova199708@gmail.com

Abstract

The early and accurate diagnosis of oncological diseases is critical for effective treatment and improved patient outcomes. Biophysical techniques such as Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) spectroscopy and Optical Coherence Tomography (OCT) have become increasingly important in modern diagnostic practices. These methods provide non-invasive, high-resolution insights into the biochemical and structural characteristics of tissues. This article reviews the principles, advantages, and diagnostic applications of these biophysical techniques in oncology, highlighting their potential to enhance diagnostic precision and support personalized medicine.

Keywords: Biophysics, oncology, diagnostics, NMR spectroscopy, Optical Coherence Tomography, non-invasive imaging.

Introduction

Cancer remains one of the leading causes of mortality worldwide. Early detection plays a pivotal role in reducing mortality rates and improving therapeutic success. Traditional diagnostic methods, including biopsy and imaging, have limitations in terms of invasiveness, resolution, and specificity. In recent decades, biophysical methods have emerged as powerful tools for diagnosing cancer by offering molecular- and tissue-level information in a non-invasive or minimally invasive manner. Among these, NMR spectroscopy and OCT stand out for their sensitivity and precision. This paper explores the application of these techniques in the field of oncology.

Research Methods

1. Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) Spectroscopy

NMR spectroscopy is a non-destructive analytical technique used to determine the molecular composition of tissues. In oncology, it is utilized for metabolic profiling of cancer cells, enabling the differentiation between healthy and malignant tissues based on their unique biochemical signatures. Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI), based on similar principles, is also extensively applied for anatomical and functional imaging of tumors.

Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) spectroscopy is a powerful and non-destructive analytical technique that provides detailed information about the molecular structure, dynamics, and environment of biological samples. In the context of oncology, NMR plays a crucial role in metabolic profiling and tumor characterization. By detecting the magnetic properties of atomic



nuclei (primarily hydrogen-1), NMR enables researchers and clinicians to analyze the concentration and behavior of various metabolites within tissues and bodily fluids.

One of the most significant applications of NMR in cancer diagnostics is the identification of metabolic alterations that are hallmarks of malignancy. Cancer cells undergo metabolic reprogramming to support rapid growth and proliferation, leading to distinctive biochemical signatures that can be detected by NMR. For instance, elevated levels of choline-containing compounds, lactate, and lipids are often observed in malignant tissues. These metabolic fingerprints can be used to differentiate between normal, benign, and cancerous cells, aiding in early detection and accurate diagnosis.

NMR spectroscopy is particularly valuable for studying bio fluids such as blood plasma, urine, and cerebrospinal fluid. This approach, often referred to as **metabolomics** or **metabolomics**, enables non-invasive monitoring of tumor progression and response to therapy. Additionally, localized NMR techniques such as **Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopic Imaging (MRSI)** combine spatial resolution with metabolic information, providing a comprehensive map of tumor metabolism within a specific anatomical region.

Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI), a clinical imaging technique derived from NMR principles, offers high-resolution images of internal organs and soft tissues. MRI is extensively used for detecting and staging tumors, assessing their size, vascularization, and structural involvement. Advanced MRI methods, such as **Diffusion-Weighted Imaging (DWI)** and **Functional MRI (fMRI)**, enhance the diagnostic capabilities by providing information about tissue cellularity and functional activity, respectively.

The integration of NMR spectroscopy and MRI in oncological practice contributes to more precise diagnostics, better differentiation between tumor types, and personalized treatment planning. Furthermore, as NMR technology becomes more accessible and automated, its clinical utility is expected to grow, offering new possibilities for real-time, non-invasive cancer diagnostics and monitoring.

2. Optical Coherence Tomography (OCT). OCT is an imaging modality that uses low-coherence interferometry to capture micrometer-resolution, cross-sectional images of biological tissues. Its applications in oncology include the detection of early-stage cancers in epithelial tissues, such as those of the skin, oral cavity, and gastrointestinal tract. OCT is valued for its real-time imaging capabilities, non-invasiveness, and ability to visualize subsurface tissue structures.

Optical Coherence Tomography (OCT) is a non-invasive imaging technique based on the principle of low-coherence interferometry, which enables the acquisition of high-resolution, cross-sectional images of biological tissues. Often described as the optical analogue of ultrasound imaging, OCT employs near-infrared light to penetrate tissue and detect backscattered signals, reconstructing detailed structural images with micrometer-scale resolution.

In oncology, OCT has gained increasing attention for its potential in the early detection, diagnosis, and monitoring of various cancers, particularly those originating in epithelial tissues. These include cancers of the skin, oral cavity, esophagus, bladder, cervix, and gastrointestinal tract—sites that are accessible with endoscopic or surface imaging probes. OCT allows clinicians to visualize architectural features of tissue layers, enabling the identification of morphological





changes associated with dysplasia and neoplasia, such as increased epithelial thickness, loss of stratification, and disruption of the basement membrane.

One of the key strengths of OCT is its ability to provide real-time imaging during clinical procedures. For instance, OCT can be integrated into endoscopic systems for **optical biopsy**, allowing clinicians to examine suspicious lesions immediately without the need to remove tissue samples. This approach significantly reduces diagnostic delay and patient discomfort, and may guide targeted biopsies or resections during surgery.

Advanced OCT modalities, such as **Doppler OCT**, **polarization-sensitive OCT (PS-OCT)**, and **optical coherence elastography**, further enhance diagnostic capabilities by providing functional information, including blood flow, tissue birefringence, and mechanical properties of tissues. These additional metrics can improve the discrimination between benign and malignant lesions and aid in the assessment of tumor vascularity and invasiveness.

Furthermore, OCT has been explored for monitoring treatment response in oncology. By comparing serial OCT scans, clinicians can evaluate changes in tissue morphology after chemotherapy, radiotherapy, or surgical interventions, enabling a more precise and individualized treatment strategy.

Despite some limitations, such as limited imaging depth (typically 1–2 mm) and lower contrast compared to histological analysis, the advantages of OCT—namely, its high resolution, real-time capability, and non-invasive nature—make it a valuable tool in cancer diagnostics. Ongoing advancements in probe miniaturization, image processing algorithms, and multimodal integration are expected to expand the use of OCT in both research and clinical oncology settings.

3. Comparative Analysis. A comparative review of recent clinical studies and trials demonstrates that both NMR and OCT can significantly increase diagnostic accuracy when used alongside conventional methods. Their ability to provide functional and structural information contributes to a more comprehensive understanding of tumor biology.

A comparative analysis of biophysical methods, particularly Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) spectroscopy and Optical Coherence Tomography (OCT), reveals their complementary strengths and synergistic potential when integrated with conventional diagnostic tools such as histopathology, ultrasound, and computed tomography (CT). Clinical studies and trials consistently show that these methods enhance diagnostic accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity, especially in the early detection and characterization of oncological diseases.

NMR spectroscopy excels in providing **biochemical and metabolic information**, offering a non-invasive "molecular fingerprint" of tissues and biofluids. It is especially valuable in differentiating between tumor types and subtypes, detecting metabolic changes before morphological alterations become apparent. In contrast, OCT offers **real-time, high-resolution structural imaging**, enabling clinicians to visualize microarchitectural features of tissues at or near the point of care. While NMR provides insight into the **functional and metabolic state** of tissues, OCT delivers **anatomical and morphological details**, making their combination particularly powerful.

For example, in breast cancer diagnostics, studies have shown that MRSI (Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopic Imaging) can detect elevated choline peaks—indicative of malignancy—while OCT can identify disruptions in the tissue layers consistent with carcinoma in situ. In gastrointestinal





oncology, OCT has been used to assess mucosal integrity and tumor infiltration depth, while NMR has helped characterize tumor metabolism and response to therapy.

Moreover, both techniques are well-suited for **longitudinal monitoring**, allowing for repeated assessments without significant patient risk. This is crucial in evaluating treatment efficacy and detecting early signs of recurrence. Their non-invasive nature also improves patient compliance and reduces the need for repeated biopsies.

Recent research explores the **integration of NMR and OCT into hybrid diagnostic platforms**, where simultaneous acquisition of metabolic and structural data may enable faster, more accurate, and personalized cancer diagnosis. Additionally, advances in machine learning and image processing are being applied to both modalities to automate interpretation and reduce observer variability, further increasing diagnostic reliability.

In summary, while NMR and OCT have distinct technical foundations and outputs, their combined use represents a paradigm shift toward **multimodal, non-invasive, and data-rich diagnostics** in oncology. As technologies continue to evolve, these biophysical approaches are expected to play an increasingly central role in precision medicine.

Conclusion

The integration of biophysical methods such as Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) spectroscopy and Optical Coherence Tomography (OCT) into oncological diagnostics represents a significant advancement in the field of medical imaging and cancer detection. These technologies offer unique and complementary insights—NMR provides detailed metabolic and functional information, while OCT delivers high-resolution structural imaging of tissues in real time. When used in combination with conventional diagnostic tools, these methods improve the accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity of cancer diagnosis and enable earlier detection of malignancies.

Moreover, both NMR and OCT support non-invasive and repeatable assessments, making them ideal for monitoring disease progression and treatment response. Their application not only reduces the need for invasive procedures like biopsies but also enhances personalized treatment planning through a more comprehensive understanding of tumor biology.

As technology advances, including improvements in hardware, software, and integration with artificial intelligence, the role of biophysical diagnostics will continue to expand. These methods are poised to become indispensable components of modern oncology, contributing to earlier diagnoses, better patient outcomes, and a more precise, individualized approach to cancer care.

References

1. Baleja, T. C., Kuliopulos, A., & Alt-Holland, A. (2019). Applications of NMR in cancer research. In *Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy in Cancer Research* (pp. 321–343). Springer.
2. Giskeødegård, G. F., Bertilsson, H., Bathen, T. F., & Tessem, M. B. (2021). Precision oncology via NMR-based metabolomics: A review on breast cancer. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 22(9), 4687.
3. Van Manen, L., Handgraaf, H. J. M., Diana, M., Dijkstra, J., Ishizawa, T., Vahrmeijer, A. L., & Mieog, J. S. D. (2018). The clinical usefulness of optical coherence tomography





- during cancer interventions. *Journal of Cancer Research and Clinical Oncology*, 144(10), 1967–1990.
4. Patel, S., Ng, B. K., Ramesh, A., & Lee, V. K. M. (2024). Enhancing oral cancer detection: A systematic review of the diagnostic accuracy of optical coherence tomography. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, 13(19), 5822.
 5. Wang, Y., Fawzi, A. A., Tan, O., Zhang, X., Huang, D., & Puliafito, C. A. (2017). Review of optical coherence tomography in oncology. *Journal of Biomedical Optics*, 22(12), 121711.
 6. Wang, X., Zhang, A., Sun, H., & Han, Y. (2010). NMR-based metabonomics: A useful platform of oncology research. *Journal of Analytical Science and Technology*, 1(1), 1–7.
 7. Базарбаев М.И., Сайфуллаева Д.И. КОМПЬЮТЕР В БЕЛОМ ХАЛАТЕ: КАК ТЕХНОЛОГИИ ТРАНСФОРМИРУЮТ МЕДИЦИНСКОЕ ОБРАЗОВАНИЕ В УЗБЕКИСТАНЕ. (2025). *Innovations in Science and Technologies*, 2(4), 117-123. <https://www.innoist.uz/index.php/ist/article/view/843>
 8. Khayitova Yulduz Davlatovna, Sayfullaeva Dilbar Izzatillaevna, Isroilova Shakhzoda Adkhamjon qizi, Zuparov Ilkhom Bakhodirovich.. Interactive content of the h5p platform and motivation of students in studying biophysics// *South Eastern European Journal of Public Health*.2024. ISSN 2197-5248.<https://www.seejph.com/index.php/seejph/article/view/2735>
 9. M.I.Bazarbayev, D.I.Sayfullaeva, B.T.Rakhimov, Sh.A. Isroilova, D.B. Elmurotova. Enhancing biophysics problem-solving skills in medical students through a targeted three-step strategy// *MedForum: International Conference on Patient-Centered Approaches to Medical Intervention 2024 – Dr. Tanima Bhattacharya et al. (eds) © 2024 Taylor & Francis Group, London*
 10. Sayfullaeva D.I. Modern information technologies in medical education: virtual textbooks, webinars and electronic libraries. *Innovative Technologica: Methodical Research Journal*. ISSN: 2776-0987 Volume 5, Issue 9, September–2024. P.1-11. <https://it.academiascience.org/index.php/it/article/view/652>
 11. Базарбаев М.И., Сайфуллаева Д.И., Марасулов А.Ф. Математическое моделирование в биологии и медицине// Учебное пособие для студентов специальности-60910600 (5510900)-Медицинское и биологическое дело. Ташкент-2022 год. 243 стр
 12. Марасулов А. Ф., Базарбаев М. И., Сайфуллаева Д.И., Сафаров У. К. Подход к обучению математике, информатике, Информационным технологиям и их интеграции в медицинских вузах.// *Вестник ташкентской медицинской академии* 2018 №2 (42). Стр 7-10. <https://www.tma-journals.uz.rio-tma@mail.ru>. ISSN 2181-7812.
 13. Sayfullaeva D.I. AI in medical education// *SPECIAL EDITION Only English “ADVANCES IN MEDICAL RESEARCH AND PRACTICE CONFERENCE”*. Herald TMA 2024. ISSN 2181-7812. P 148-149. www.tma-journals.uz.

