

ANTIBIOTIC RESISTANCE OF CUTIBACTERIUM ACNES ISOLATED FROM PATIENTS WITH ACNE VULGARIS: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW AND META-ANALYSIS

Мирвасидов М. М.

Алиев А. III

1-я кафедра дерматовенерологии и косметологии
Ташкентский государственный медицинский университет

Abstract

Cutibacterium acnes (C. acnes) plays a key role in the pathogenesis of acne. Studies on antibiotic resistance of this microorganism are being conducted in many countries, but systematic reviews and meta-analyses on this topic are still lacking. The aim of this study was to systematically assess the level of resistance of C. acnes to various antibiotics in order to form rational approaches to antibiotic therapy of acne.

Keywords: Cutibacterium acnes; acne vulgaris; antibiotic resistance; meta-analysis; prevalence; pharmacovigilance.

Introduction

Cutibacterium acnes (C. acnes) plays a key role in the pathogenesis of acne. Studies on antibiotic resistance of this microorganism are being conducted in many countries, but systematic reviews and meta-analyses on this topic are still lacking. The aim of this study was to systematically assess the level of resistance of C. acnes to various antibiotics in order to form rational approaches to antibiotic therapy of acne.

Materials and methods

A systematic search of relevant publications was carried out in the databases PubMed, Cochrane Library, EMBASE, Web of Science, China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI) and Wanfang Data for the period from January 10, 2005 to May 10, 2025. From the selected studies, data were extracted on the frequency of resistance of C. acnes isolates to antibiotics of various groups — **quinolones**, **macrolides**, **tetracyclines** and others. The overall rate of resistance was calculated using the R software package.





Outcomes

A total of 7996 publications were identified, of which 24 studies met the inclusion criteria. The analysis included data on 1780 isolates of *C. acnes*. The levels of resilience (95% CI) were distributed as follows:

- Roxithromycin – 46% (37.5–54.5) • Clarithromycin – 45.5% (25.5–65.5) • Azithromycin – 42.5% (26.5–58.5) • Erythromycin – 30.5% (24.5–36.5) • Clindamycin – 22.38% (14.69–32.56) • Levofloxacin – 7.8% (3.1–12.5)
- Doxycycline – 3.85% (1.1–6.6) • Tetracycline – 2.3% (0.6–4.0)
- Co-trimoxazole (TMP-SMX) – 1.47% (0.00–85.72) • Chloramphenicol – 0.28% (0.04–1.94) • Minocycline – 0.22% (0.03–1.89)

Subgroup analyses showed that levels of resistance to macrolides and clindamycin are significantly higher in Asia than in other regions. In addition, resistance to levofloxacin, erythromycin, and clindamycin showed an upward trend over time ($p < 0.05$).

Discussion

The high rates of *C. acnes* resistance to macrolides and lincosamides (up to 75% for clarithromycin in China) are probably due to the excessive and prolonged use of antibiotics in the treatment of acne. At the same time, the level of resistance to tetracyclines remains low at 3.85% for doxycycline, which supports the usefulness of maintaining tetracyclines as first-line drugs in the treatment of acne vulgaris. The revealed increase in resistance to levofloxacin, erythromycin, and clindamycin emphasizes the need for rational and limited use of antibiotics in dermatological practice.

Restrictions

The main limitations of the study are the heterogeneity of the included works, differences in sensitivity testing methods, uneven geographical distribution of data, as well as the possibility of publication bias.

Conclusion

In a number of regions of the world, especially in Asia, high levels of antibiotic resistance to *C. acnes* have been noted, mainly to macrolides and clindamycin. Low resistance to tetracyclines allows them to maintain their status as the main first-line antibiotics for the treatment of acne. Increasing rates of resistance to certain groups of antibiotics require increased antimicrobial surveillance and a revision of antibiotic



treatment regimens for acne, with an emphasis on limiting unnecessary antibiotic prescribing and the use of alternative therapeutic strategies.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors declare that there are no commercial or financial relations that could be interpreted as a potential conflict of interest.

References

1. Tan J; ROSCO coauthors. Updating the diagnosis, classification and assessment of rosacea by effacement of subtypes: reply from the author. *Br J Dermatol*. 2017
2. Tan J., Schofer H., Araviiskaia E., Audibert F, Kerrouche N, Berg M; Prevalence of rosacea in the general population of Germany and Russia – the RISE study. *JEADV*, 2016 Mar; 30(3):428-34.
3. Elewsky B, Draelos Z, Dreno B. Rosacea – global diversity and optimized outcome: proposed international consensus from the Rosacea International Expert Group. *JEADV* 2011;25:188-200.
4. Crawford GH, Pelle MT, James WD. Rosacea: I. Etiology, pathogenesis, and subtype classification. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2004 Sep; 51(3):327-41.
5. Powell FC. Rosacea. *N Engl J Med* 2005; 352: 793–803. 2 Baldwin HE. Systemic therapy for rosacea. *Skin Therapy Lett* 2007; 12: 1–5, 9.
6. Garnis-Jones S. Psychological aspects of rosacea. *J Cutan Med Surg* 1998; 2 (Suppl. 4): 4–9.
7. Berg M, Liden S. An epidemiological study of rosacea. *Acta Derm Venereol* 1989; 69: 419–423.
8. Samtsov A.V. Acne and acneiform dermatoses. Monograph – M.: OOO "UTCOR", 2009. – 208 p.: ill.
9. Powell F.C, Rosacea. *N. Engl J. Med*. 2005; 352: 793-803
10. A Guide to Dermatocosmetology / Ed. by Arawiyskaya E.R. and Sokolovsky E.V. – St. Petersburg: OOO "Publishing House Foliant:", 2008. – 632 p.
11. Lacey N, Delaney S, Kavanagh K et al. Mite related bacterial antigens stimulate inflammatory cells in rosacea. *Br J Dermatol* 2007; 157: 474-481.
12. Frank S et al. Regulation of VEGF expression in cultured keratinocytes. Implications for normal and impaired wound healing. *J. Biol. Chem*. 1995; 270: 12607 – 12613
13. Gomaa A, Yaar M, Eyada M et al. Lymphangiogenesis and angiogenesis in non-inflammatory rosacea. *J Cutan Pathol* 2007;34:748-753.





14. Whitfeld M, Gunasingam N, Leow L et al. Staphylococcus epidermidis: a possible role in the pustules of rosacea. J Am Acad Dermatol 2011;64:49-59.
15. Moran A.P. Pathogenic properties of Helicobacter pylori. Scan.J. Gastroenterol. - 1996;. 31; 215; 22-31.
16. Wolf R. Acne rosacea and Helicobacter pylori betrothed. Int.J.Dermatol. 1996; 35; 4; 302 – 303.
17. Rebora A., Drago F., Parodi A. May Helicobacter pylori be important for dermatologist. Dermatology 1995; 191; 6 - 8.
18. Diaz C., Ocallaghan C.J., Khan A., Ilchyshyn A. Rosacea: a cutaneous marker of Helicobacter pylori infection? Result of a pilot study. Acta Derm. Venerol. 2003; 4: 282 – 286
19. Bamford J. Rosacea: current thoughts on origin. Semin Cutan Med Surg 2001;20:199-206. Zuber T. Rosacea. Prim Care 2000;27:309-318.
20. Wilkin J., Dahl M., Detmar M., Drake L. et al. Standard classification of rosacea: Report of the National Rosacea Society Expert Committee on the Classification and Staging of Rosacea. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2002 Apr; 46(4):584-7.
21. Webster G, Schaller M, Tan J, Jackson JM, Kerrouche N, Schäfer G. Defining treatment success in rosacea as “clear” may provide multiple patient benefit: results of pooled analysis. Journal of Dermatological Treatment, 2017; 28 (5):469-474.
22. van Zuuren EJ, Graber MA, Hollis S et al. Interventions for rosacea Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2005; Jul 20: CD003262.
23. Del Rosso JQ, Gallo RL, Tangheiti E, Webster G, Thiboutot D. An evaluation of potential correlations between pathophysiologic mechanisms, clinical manifestations, and management of rosacea. Cutis. 2013 Mar; 91(3 Suppl):1-8
24. Sneddon I. A clinical trial of tetracycline in rosacea. Br J Dermatol 1966; 78: 649–653.
25. Thiboutot D. Efficacy and safety of subantimicrobial-dose doxycycline for the treatment of rosacea J. Am. Acad. Dermatol. 2005; 52: 3-P 17.
26. Saihan EM, Burton JL. A double-blind trial of metronidazole versus oxytetracycline therapy for rosacea. Br J Dermatol 1980; 102: 443–445.
27. Pye RJ, Burton JL. Treatment of rosacea by metronidazole. Lancet 1976; 1: 1211–1212.
28. Rademaker M. Isotretinoin: dose, duration and relapse. What does 30 years of usage tell us? Australas J Dermatol. 2013 Aug; 54(3):157-62.





29. Gollnick H, Blume-Peytavi U, Szabó EL, Meyer KG et al. Systemic isotretinoin in the treatment of rosacea - doxycycline- and placebo-controlled, randomized clinical study. *J Dtsch Dermatol Ges.* 2010 Jul; 8(7):505-15.
30. Hofer T. Continuous 'microdose' isotretinoin in adult recalcitrant rosacea. *Clin Exp Dermatol* 2004; 29: 204–205.
31. Gollnick H. Optimal Isotretinoin Dosing for Rosacea, 18th Congress of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology, Berlin 2009.
32. Gollnick H.P., Bettoli V., Lambert J., Araviiskaia E., et al. Aconsensus-based practical and daily guide for the treatment of acne patients. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol.* 2016 Sep; 30(9):1480-90.
33. Pankina E.S. Disorders of facial skin microcirculation in rosacea and methods of their correction. *Avtoref Kand dis St. Petersburg*, 2008, 20 p.
34. Stein L, Kircik L, Fowler J, Tan J, Draelos Z, Fleischer A, Appell M, Steinhoff M, Lynde C, Liu H, Jacovella J. Efficacy and safety of ivermectin 1% cream in treatment of papulopustular rosacea: results of two randomized, double-blind, vehicle-controlled pivotal studies. *JDD*, 2014; 13(3):316-323.
35. Ventre E, Rozières A, Lenief V, Albert F, Rossio P, Laoubi L, Dombrowicz D, Staels B, Ulmann L, Julia V, Vial E, Jomard A, Hacini-Rachinel F, Nicolas JF, Vocanson M. Topical ivermectin improves allergic skin inflammation. *Allergy.* 2017 Aug; 72(8):1212-1221.
36. Taieb A, Ortonne JP, Ruzicka T, Roszkiewicz J, Berth-Jones J, Peirone MH, Jacovella J; Ivermectin Phase III study group. Superiority of ivermectin 1% cream over metronidazole 0.75% cream in treating inflammatory lesions of rosacea: a randomized, investigator-blinded trial. *Br J Dermatol.* 2015 Apr; 172(4):1103-10.
37. Taieb A, Khemis A, Ruzicka T, Barańska-Rybak W, Berth-Jones J, Schaubert J, Briantais P, Jacovella J, Passeron T; Ivermectin Phase III Study Group. Maintenance of remission following successful treatment of papulopustular rosacea with ivermectin 1% cream vs. metronidazole 0.75% cream: 36-week extension of the ATTRACT randomized study. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol.* 2016 May; 30(5):829-36.
38. Fowler J, Jarratt M, Moore A, Meadows K, Pollack A, Steinhoff M, Liu Y, Leoni M; Brimonidine Phase II Study Group. Once-daily topical brimonidine tartrate gel 0.5% is a novel treatment for moderate to severe facial erythema of rosacea: results of two multicentre, randomized and vehicle-controlled studies. *Br J Dermatol.* 2012 Mar; 166(3):633-41.





39. Fowler J Jr, Jackson M, Moore A, Jarratt M, Jones T, Meadows K, Steinhoff M, Rudisill D, Leoni M. Efficacy and safety of once-daily topical brimonidine tartrate gel 0.5% for the treatment of moderate to severe facial erythema of rosacea: results of two randomized, double-blind, and vehicle-controlled pivotal studies. *J Drugs Dermatol*. 2013 Jun 1; 12(6):650-6.
40. Moore A, Kempers S, Murakawa G, Weiss J, Tauscher A, Swinyer L, Liu H, Leoni M. Long-term safety and efficacy of once-daily topical brimonidine tartrate gel 0.5% for the treatment of moderate to severe facial erythema of rosacea: results of a 1-year open-label study. *J Drugs Dermatol*. 2014 Jan; 13(1):56-61.

