

FAST FASHION: SUSTAINABILITY CHALLENGES AND RESOURCE CONSUMPTION

Toshmatova Guzal Adilxodjayevna

Environmental Hygiene, Tashkent State Medical University,
Tashkent, Uzbekistan

Tel: +998909076379 E-mail: g.toshmatova@yahoo.com

Yoldoshova Dilnoza Davronbek qizi

Student of Group 202, Faculty of Treatment No. 2,
Tashkent State Medical University, Tashkent, Uzbekistan
Tel: +998946939666 E-mail: dilnozayoldosheva27@gmail.com

Ahtamova Mehrangiz Anvar qizi

Student of Group 202, Faculty of Treatment No. 2,
Tashkent State Medical University, Tashkent, Uzbekistan
Tel: +998930929275 E-mail: ahtamovamehrangiz470@gmail.com

Khasanova Muxlisaxon Dilmurod qizi

Student of Group 229, Faculty of Treatment No. 2,
Tashkent State Medical University, Tashkent, Uzbekistan
Tel: +99888 955 50 54 E-mail: d59431528@gmail.com

Abstract

This study examines the environmental impact of the fast fashion industry. It analyzes water consumption, energy use, microplastic pollution, and social consequences. The research evaluates clothing production processes — from cotton cultivation, synthetic fiber production, dyeing, and finishing — and their contributions to freshwater depletion, carbon dioxide emissions, and waste generation. Based on scientific literature, industry reports, and statistical data, the study demonstrates the scale of fast fashion's environmental harm and discusses sustainable alternatives such as slow fashion, recycling, and eco-technology materials. Recommendations are provided to reduce the ecological and social impacts of fast fashion.

Keywords: Fast fashion, environmental impact, water consumption, carbon emissions, microplastics, textile waste, sustainable clothing, slow fashion, social impact.

Introduction

Over the last three to five decades, the pace of clothing consumption and production has accelerated dramatically: the “fast fashion” model — cheap, quickly produced, and rapidly replaceable clothing collections — has driven mass consumption. While this model has increased industrial efficiency, it has significantly deteriorated ecological and social conditions. Fast fashion rapidly consumes



global resources (water, energy) and generates waste and pollution; as a result, the sector negatively affects global sustainability goals.

The clothing production chain — from cotton cultivation through fiber production, yarn preparation, dyeing, and finishing — consumes large amounts of water and energy and emits harmful chemicals. For example, it is commonly reported that producing one cotton T-shirt requires approximately **2,700 liters (≈700 gallons)** of water, and producing one pair of jeans requires thousands of liters; these figures pose serious risks to global freshwater resources and local aquifers.

The textile industry produces a substantial share of global greenhouse gas emissions: various expert analyses estimate the sector's contribution to global GHG emissions at roughly **2–10%** (consensus across multiple reviews and reports indicates this share may rise in coming years). Within manufacturing processes, dyeing and finishing are major polluting steps that weaken aquatic basins through water use and chemical discharges. (European Circular Economy Platform+1)

The waste and microplastic problem of fast fashion is also severe: it is estimated that washing synthetic-fiber garments releases approximately **500,000 tons** of microfibers into the oceans annually; furthermore, a large share of produced textiles (variously reported at **about 70–85%**) ultimately becomes post-consumer waste, and only a very small fraction is genuinely recycled. This situation has long-term negative effects on oceans, the food chain, and human health. (PMC+2, The Washington Post+2)

Socially, fast fashion embodies risks such as low wages for the workforce, poor working conditions, and, in some cases, child labor. Rapid production cycles and short lead times (for example, industry leaders reducing lead times to weeks) increase pressure on producers and can result in violations of labor standards. These problems, integrated with environmental concerns, complicate achievement of sustainable development goals.

Although the literature contains extensive evidence on fast fashion's environmental and social impacts, several knowledge gaps remain: the regional effects of production chains on water resources (e.g., in Central Asia), the practical impact of new technologies (chemical recycling, fiber separation), and the concrete emissions- and resource-saving effects of changes in consumer behavior. Filling these gaps is necessary to inform local policy strategies and industry practices for fast fashion.

Thus, this study systematically revisits fast fashion's resource consumption (water and energy), carbon footprint, microfiber emissions, and social consequences; by integrating available statistics and scientific sources, it aims to identify the sector's main "hotspots" and formulate practical recommendations. The objective is to clearly demonstrate the environmental and social costs of fast fashion, evaluate sustainable alternatives, and develop evidence-based recommendations for policy and industry practice.

Aim and Relevance of the Study

Fast fashion is currently one of the world's most resource-intensive and polluting industries. It consumes large quantities of water, produces greenhouse gas emissions, and generates substantial textile waste. A deep understanding of fast fashion's environmental footprint is crucial for establishing sustainable production, reducing ecological harm, and raising consumer awareness.



Materials and Methods

Data for this study were obtained from scientific articles, industry reports (Quantis International 2018, United Nations Environment Programme), technical analyses, and documentaries such as The True Cost. Key metrics examined included per-garment water consumption (in gallons/liters), carbon emissions, the volume of textile waste, and microfiber release. Production lead times, manufacturing methods, and labor demographics were also analyzed to assess social and environmental impacts of fast fashion. Comparative analyses were performed across fast fashion brands (Zara, H&M, Shein, UNIQLO, Forever 21) and sustainable alternatives (slow fashion, recycling programs, eco-friendly textiles).

Results

The statistical data collected on the fast fashion industry demonstrate that clothing production exerts a very high environmental burden. Leading international sources were analyzed for water consumption, carbon emissions, microfiber pollution, and textile waste.

Water consumption and water loading

Table 1: Water consumption by garment type (global average estimates)

Garment type	Water consumption (liters)	Source
1 cotton T-shirt	2,700 L	WWF (2017)
1 pair of jeans	7,500 – 10,000 L	Levi's Life Cycle Assessment (2015)
1 kg cotton fiber	8,000 – 20,000 L	FAO / Water Footprint Network

- Cotton cultivation accounts for approximately **4%** of global freshwater consumption.
- Textile manufacturing processes (notably dyeing) are responsible for about **20%** of industrial water pollution.

Sources: WWF, Water Footprint Network, Levi Strauss LCA.

Carbon emissions. The textile industry accounts for roughly **8–10%** of global greenhouse gas emissions (exceeding emissions from international aviation and shipping combined). Projections indicate sector emissions could increase by **50%** by 2030 (Ellen MacArthur Foundation). Producing **1 kg of synthetic polyester** generates about **9.5 kg CO₂**.

Table 2: Carbon footprint by textile material

Material	Carbon footprint (kg CO ₂ per 1 kg material)	Source
Polyester	9.5 kg	Quantis International (2018)
Cotton	5–6 kg	Textile Exchange LCA
Nylon	11.5 kg	PlasticsEurope

Microplastic pollution

- Washing synthetic-fiber garments is estimated to release **~500,000 tonnes** of microfibers into the oceans annually (UNEP, 2023).
- Approximately **35%** of microfiber emissions originate from domestic laundering (IUCN, 2017).
- Textiles account for about **10%** of all microplastics in the oceans.

Textile waste

- Annual global textile waste is approximately **92 million tonnes** (UNEP).
- About **85%** of produced textiles end up in landfill or incineration.



- Only ~1% of clothing is remanufactured into new textile fibers (Ellen MacArthur Foundation, 2017).

Table 3: Global textile waste indicators

Indicator	Quantity	Source
Annual textile waste	92 million tonnes	UNEP
Share of textiles recycled into new fibers	1%	EMF (2017)
Share entering the second-hand market	12%	WRAP

Social impact indicators. Globally, **60–70%** of the garment workforce are women; labor exploitation is concentrated in developing countries (Bangladesh, India, Vietnam) (ILO). In over **30%** of manufacturing facilities, working conditions fail to meet basic labor standards. The 2013 Rana Plaza collapse (1,138 workers killed) prompted a global reassessment of supply-chain safety standards.

Discussion

The findings show the fast fashion industry imposes an exceptionally high environmental and social burden. Key hotspots identified in the sector include:

Fast fashion as a driver of water resource crises

Cotton cultivation in arid and semi-arid regions (e.g., Uzbekistan, Kazakhstan, Pakistan) exerts severe pressure on water resources. The shrinkage of the Aral Sea has been linked in part to extensive cotton irrigation; reducing cotton demand driven by fast fashion is therefore essential for regional ecological stability.

Assessment: Up to **87%** of water in some cotton production systems is used for irrigation; The water required to produce a single pair of jeans can equal **7–10 years** of drinking water for one person (contextualized comparisons vary by source).

Carbon emissions and contribution to climate change

The industry's carbon footprint is driven not only by production but by consumption patterns: frequent purchases, rapid turnover, and the short useful life of garments. Synthetic fibers such as polyester and acrylic are major contributors to greenhouse gas emissions.

Analytical note: If current consumption trends continue, the fashion industry could represent **26%** of global carbon emissions by 2050 (Ellen MacArthur Foundation projection).

Microplastic pollution — a hidden global threat. Microfibers are among the most prevalent microplastics and enter aquatic ecosystems, bioaccumulate in fish and wildlife, and eventually enter human food chains. Recent research from Oxford University has detected synthetic microfibers in human blood, indicating their penetration into biological systems.

Textile waste — weakness in circularity. A central problem in the fast fashion market is the low level of circular economy implementation: most garments ($\approx 85\%$) are discarded; while mechanical and chemical recycling technologies exist, chemical recycling has not been widely deployed at scale. Many synthetic textiles take over 200 years to break down in the environment.



Social issues — exploitation and cheap labor. The social “cost” of fast fashion is as significant as its ecological damage. ILO reports indicate that up to **75%** of workers may earn wages at or near minimum levels; long working hours (70–90 hours per week) and safety noncompliance are common in many facilities.

Conclusions

The fast fashion industry imposes substantial environmental and social costs. To mitigate its impacts, the following actions are necessary:

1. Transition to slow fashion and sustainable production models.
2. Increase the use of eco-friendly materials in garment production (organic cotton, flax, hemp, lyocell, wild silk).
3. Develop and scale recycling, resale, and rental programs to extend garment lifecycles.
4. Promote conscious consumer behavior — favoring high-quality, durable, and eco-certified products while avoiding unnecessary purchases.
5. Governments and international organizations should enforce robust environmental regulation, monitor labor standards, and incentivize sustainable practices in the fashion industry.
6. Companies must adopt transparent supply chains, invest in low-impact manufacturing technologies, and commit to measurable water and carbon reduction targets.

References:

1. Rashmila Maiti. “The Environmental Impact of Fast Fashion, Explained.” Earth.Org, Jan 20, 2025.
2. Саломова, Ф. И., Ахмадалиева, Н. О., & Тошматова, Г. О. (2022). Шаҳар ва қишлоқ шароитида таълим олаётган ўқувчилар саломатлигига уларнинг овқатланишининг ва мактаб шароитининг аҳамияти. Услубий тавсиянома. Тошкент, 24.
3. Toshmatova, G., & Usmanov, D. (2023). O’ZBEKISTON RESPUBLIKASI “QIZIL KITOB” GA KIRITILGAN SUTLAMADOSHLAR OILASINING SOLISHTIRMA TAXLILI (2009 VA 2019-YILLAR NASHRLARI MISOLIDA). Молодые ученые, 1(9), 55-59.
4. Равшанова, М. З., & Тошматова, Г. А. (2023, February). Влияние питание на здоровье школьников обучающихся в городских и сельских условия. Соғлом турмуш тарзи” мавзусидаги халқаро илмий–амалий конференция материаллари тўплами/.
5. Toshmatova, G. A. (2019). Prevalence of mastopathy among women of Tashkent City. J Oncol Res Treat, (4), 1-3.
6. Guzal, T., Mavluda, M., & Inomjon, I. (2021). Modern approaches to rationalization of mealing of urban and rural school children in Uzbekistan.
7. Khalmatova, B., Mirrakhimova, M., Tashmatova, G., & Olmosov, R. (2017). Efficiency of the usage of antagonists of leukotrienic receptors at children with bronchial asthma. In International Forum on Contemporary Global Challenges of Interdisciplinary Academic Research and Innovation (pp. 291-296).



8. Akhmadaliyeva, N. O., Salomova, F. I., Sadullayeva, K. A., Abdukadirova, L. K., & Imamova, A. O. (2024). RETRACTED: Nutrition of frequently ill preschool children in organized collectives. In *BIO Web of Conferences* (Vol. 84, p. 01011). EDP Sciences.
9. Абдукадилова, Л. К., & Умирбеков, О. Д. (2020). Даволаш профилактика муассасалари радиология бўлими хоналаридаги нурланиш доза даражасини аниқлаб баҳолаш. *Интернаука*, (2-2), 68-69.
10. Salomova, F. I., Mavlonov, A., & Abdukadirova, L. K. (2024). Talabalar o'rtasida gastritning tarqalishi va to'g'ri ovqatlanishning ahamiyati.
11. Ikramova, N. A., Jalolov, N. N., Mirsagatova, M. R., Kasimova, K. T., Sadirova, M. K., & Sulonov, E. Y. (2025, April). AMBIENT TEMPERATURE AND THE RISK OF THERMOREGULATORY DISORDERS AMONG TRAFFIC POLICE OFFICERS: AN EPIDEMIOLOGICAL ANALYSIS. *International Conference on Advance Research in Humanities, Applied Sciences and Education*.
12. Ikramova, N. A., Mirsagatova, M. R., Jalolov, N. N., Kasimova, K. T., Sulonov, E. Y., & Sadirova, M. K. (2025, April). THE EFFECT OF THERMAL LOAD ON THE BODY OF OUTDOOR WORKERS: ANALYSIS BASED ON MEDICAL AND HYGIENIC INDICATORS. *International Conference on Advance Research in Humanities, Applied Sciences and Education*.
13. Sadullaeva, K. A., Sadirova, M. Q., Ikramova, N. A., & Sotivoldieva, S. A. (2025). EFFECT OF NUTRITION ON HEALTH OF SCHOOL STUDENTS.
14. Sharipova, S. A., Ikramova, N. A., Bahridinova, M. N., Toshpulatov, B. M., & Egamberdiyeva, Z. Z. (2025, March). SPECIFIC ASPECTS OF PREVENTION OF INFECTIOUS DISEASES. *International Conference on Advance Research in Humanities, Applied Sciences and Education*.
15. Salomova, F. I., Jumakulovich, E. N., & Toshmatova, G. A. (2022). Hygienic Basis for the Use of Specialized Food for Alimentary Prevention of Mastopathy. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Negative Results*, 13.
16. Salomova, F. I., Sadullayeva, K. A., & Toshmatova, G. (2024). MODERN SOLUTIONS FOR CLEANING WASTEWATER FROM CAR WASHES. *Central Asian Journal of Medicine*, (1), 5-12.
17. Закирходжаев, Ш. Я., Закирова, А. Ш., Рахимов, М. М., & Махмудова, Д. У. (1997). Динамика клиничко-иммунологических и биохимических показателей у больных хроническими гепатитами на фоне диетотерапии бобовыми продуктами. *Новое в диагностике и лечении органов пищеварения*, 48-50.
18. Шамуратова, Н. Ш., Зокирходжаев, Ш. Я., & Рўзметова, И. Я. (2023). Сурункали гепатит ва ковид-19 билан бирга кечган патологик жараёнда овқатланиш статусини урганиш ва баҳолаш (Doctoral dissertation, *Современные тенденции развития инфектологии, медицинской паразитологии, эпидемиологии и микробиологии, Узбекистан*). *Современные тенденции развития инфектологии, медицинской паразитологии, эпидемиологии и микробиологии, Узбекистан*.
19. Salomova, F. I., Hakimova, D. S., Ashurboyev, F. A. O. L., & Toshmatova, G. Z. A. (2022). COVID-19 PANDEMIYASI DAVRIDA BOLALAR VA O 'SMIRLARNING KUN TARTIBI



VA SALOMATLIK HOLATI. Oriental renaissance: Innovative, educational, natural and social sciences, 2(4), 465-474.

20. Salomova, F. I., Sadullayeva, K. A., & Toshmatova, G. (2024). MODERN SOLUTIONS FOR CLEANING WASTEWATER FROM CAR WASHES. Central Asian Journal of Medicine, (1), 5-12.

