

ANALYSIS OF SOURCES ON HANAFI JURISPRUDENCE IN THE 18TH-19TH CENTURIES

Tursunov Muxiddin Dovudovich

2nd Year Basic Doctoral Student of the Department of “Islamic History and Source Studies IRCICA” of the International Islamic Studies Academy of Uzbekistan

Abstract

This study provides a comprehensive examination of the sources and intellectual transformations that shaped Hanafi jurisprudence during the 18th and 19th centuries. In this period, Muslim legal scholars and institutions encountered profound socio-political shifts, particularly due to colonial encroachment, legal reforms, and educational changes across regions such as South Asia, Central Asia, and the Ottoman Empire. The research explores how traditional Hanafi legal frameworks responded to these challenges through a renewed emphasis on *ijtihad* (independent reasoning) and reinterpretation of classical texts. Central figures such as Ibn Khaldun and Shah Waliullah Dehlawi contributed significantly to the evolution of Islamic legal thought, offering methodological tools for adapting jurisprudence to emerging realities. The paper also analyzes the intersection between religious and secular authority, the influence of madrasas, and the integration of customary law with formal legal institutions. By engaging with both primary texts and contemporary scholarly interpretations, this study highlights the dynamic adaptability of Hanafi jurisprudence and its enduring relevance in confronting modern legal, ethical, and epistemological issues.

Keywords: Hanafi jurisprudence, *ijtihad*, islamic legal reform, colonialism and law, madrasas and religious authority, Islamic legal history.

Introduction

In examining Hanafi jurisprudence during the 18th and 19th centuries, it is imperative to situate this legal tradition within its broader socio-political and intellectual contexts. This period witnessed significant transformations influenced by colonial expansion, cultural exchanges, and the interplay between religious and secular authority. Scholars like Ibn Khaldun played crucial roles in shaping Islamic thought, as reflected in their frameworks for understanding the history of *fiqh*, which subsequently reverberated through both Muslim and Western historical accounts. His insights have helped modern scholarship to re-evaluate established narratives and encouraged a resurgence of *ijtihad* in response to contemporary challenges, particularly as Muslim intellectuals sought to address the encroachment of Western ideologies (Kızılkaya et al.). Moreover, as institutions of Islamic education evolved, notably in regions with complex religious dynamics, these developments highlighted the nuanced engagement between state authority and grassroots religious leadership, enriching our understanding of Islamic jurisprudence in this pivotal era (Tasar et al.).

Hanafi jurisprudence, one of the largest Sunni schools of Islamic legal thought, is characterized by its reliance on reason and independent reasoning, known as *ijtihad*, alongside traditional sources such as the Quran and Hadith. Established by Abu Hanifa in the 8th century, it



emphasizes the importance of local custom and context, allowing it to adapt more readily to diverse legal environments. This adaptability is particularly significant in the 18th and 19th centuries when global dynamics prompted Muslim scholars to re-examine legal interpretations and practices. As scholars sought to address modern challenges, they often revisited foundational texts and concepts, such as those articulated by Ibn Khaldun, whose work has influenced both Muslim and Western perspectives on Islamic law (Kızılkaya et al.). This period marked a crucial intersection between traditional Hanafi principles and the emerging socio-political contexts influenced by imperialism and modernization, showcasing the school's enduring relevance (Tasar et al.).

The 18th and 19th centuries marked a pivotal transition in Islamic legal history, particularly in the context of Hanafi jurisprudence. This era witnessed an increasing engagement between traditional Islamic legal frameworks and the encroaching influences of colonial powers, prompting a reevaluation of existing legal principles. Scholars began to emphasize the necessity of *ijtihad*, or independent reasoning, as a means of addressing contemporary societal challenges spurred by Western imperialism. Ibn Khaldun's analytical framework, as discussed in relation to the historical understanding of *fiqh*, offered valuable insights that spurred both Muslim and Orientalist scholarship during this period. By emphasizing the need for reform and adaptation within the Islamic legal system, Hanafi jurists navigated the complexities of modernity while still rooted in their classical traditions. This intersection of tradition and innovation ultimately shaped the evolution of Islamic law in response to both internal and external pressures, reflecting a dynamic legal landscape essential to understanding contemporary Islamic jurisprudence (Tasar et al.)(Kızılkaya et al.).

In the exploration of Hanafi jurisprudence during the 18th and 19th centuries, a diverse array of sources presents a multifaceted understanding of the legal and socio-political dynamics of the time. Scholarly analyses, such as those addressing the epistemological challenges within the Islamic legal tradition, highlight the complexities surrounding the formulation of Hanafi thought amidst the pressures of modernity and colonial influences. These studies emphasize the blurry boundaries between *Usul al-fiqh* and *Usul al-qanun*, reflecting on how intertwined religious, legal, and political structures shaped governance within the Hanafi framework (M Moran et al.). Furthermore, contemporary studies examining the revival and reinterpretation of Islamic practices, like *zakat*, provide insight into how traditional legal concepts have been adapted to address modern socio-economic challenges faced by Muslim communities, particularly in contexts like India (Taylor et al.). Such sources collectively enrich the discourse on Hanafi jurisprudence by illuminating historical transformations and their lasting implications.

The historical context of Hanafi jurisprudence during the 18th and 19th centuries reveals a dynamic interplay of tradition and adaptation influenced by socio-political changes. As empires expanded and modernity began to encroach upon Islamic societies, scholars of the Hanafi school sought to reconcile classical interpretations with contemporary challenges. This was notably seen in the works of figures like Ibn Khaldun, whose insights into the evolution of Islamic law encouraged scholars to adopt a more flexible approach to jurisprudence, emphasizing *ijtihad* as a means to address modern issues (Kızılkaya et al.). Additionally, educational institutions such as those established under the muftiate of Soviet Central Asia exemplified how Hanafi teachings intersected with both official and unofficial religious contexts, enhancing debates surrounding



Islamic education and legal practice during a period of significant upheaval (Tasar et al.). This synthesis of historical reflection and contemporary understanding shaped the trajectory of Hanafi jurisprudence, marking it as a responsive tradition.

The development of Hanafi thought prior to the 18th century reflects a rich interplay of jurisprudential principles and sociopolitical contexts. Originating from the teachings of Imam Abu Hanifa in the 8th century, Hanafi jurisprudence emphasized rationality and flexibility, allowing for an extensive interpretation of Islamic law. This adaptability enabled the Hanafi school to thrive in diverse communities, from the Ottoman Empire to South Asia, fostering a dialogue between tradition and contemporary issues. The works of prominent scholars like Ibn Khaldun contributed significantly to the historical analysis of fiqh, as seen in (Kızılkaya et al.). Their perspectives generated frameworks that reconsidered the evolution of Islamic law, relevant even in modern discourse. Furthermore, the engagement of Hanafi thought with political and ethical questions showcases its enduring legacy, which informs contemporary discussions on the relationship between law and personal conscience, mirroring the complex dynamics identified in (Swazo et al.).

The evolution of Hanafi jurisprudence in the 18th and 19th centuries was profoundly shaped by socio-political changes that reflected broader global dynamics. The impacts of colonialism, particularly in South Asia following events like the Indian Mutiny of 1857-1858, prompted Muslim intellectuals to reassess and reformulate Islamic legal thought. As traditional structures waned under British rule, figures such as Sayyid Ahmad Khan emerged, advocating for educational reforms and a reinterpretation of Islamic principles that aligned with contemporary socio-political realities (Mohomed et al.). Furthermore, sweeping changes in Islamic education highlighted the tension between modernization and traditionalism, forcing scholars to navigate the complexities of integrating modern governance frameworks with Hanafi legal principles (Syah et al.). This interplay of responses not only redefined Hanafi jurisprudence but also illuminated the adaptability of Islamic thought amidst changing societal landscapes.

The 18th and 19th centuries witnessed significant developments in Hanafi scholarship, marked by the contributions of pivotal figures who shaped the trajectory of Islamic jurisprudence in the region. Scholars like Shah Waliullah Dehlawi and his disciples played a crucial role in revitalizing the Hanafi tradition by incorporating reformist ideas that addressed contemporary issues, reflecting an intricate balance between traditional doctrine and emerging socio-political realities. This period was characterized by a growing interaction between Islamic legal thought and Western influences, as the regions legal landscape evolved under the pressures of modernization and colonialism. The interplay between formal educational institutions, such as madrasas, and the broader intellectual discourse among unregistered 'ulamā underscored a dynamic of religious authority that was both state-influenced and grassroots-oriented, mirroring the complex relationships identified in discussions on the development of Islamic education in regions like Soviet Central Asia (Tasar et al.). Thus, the scholarship during this era not only preserved Hanafi jurisprudence but also adapted it to meet the challenges of a changing world (Mallat et al.).

The primary sources of Hanafi jurisprudence, particularly during the 18th and 19th centuries, are pivotal to understanding the intellectual framework that guided Islamic legal thought. Central to this jurisprudence are the foundational texts, such as the works of Abu Hanifa and his greatest



commentators, which provided a codified structure for deriving legal rulings. In this era, there was a notable synthesis of local customs and state laws with Islamic principles, as scholars sought to adapt Hanafi doctrine to contemporary societal needs. The interplay of regional practices and formal legal doctrines is especially evident in the articulated responses to emerging challenges posed by colonial rule and modernization efforts, which necessitated a re-examination of traditional interpretations. Therefore, the analysis of Hanafi jurisprudence during this period reflects not only an adherence to foundational texts but also a dynamic engagement with the sociopolitical realities of the time, influencing rulings and legal thought in profound ways, as noted in the broader discussions of legal historiography (Alshammari A et al., p. 671-705) and the sociocultural contexts shaping religious identities (Basu A, p. 1034-1034).

The examination of classical texts not only enriches our understanding of the historical context of Hanafi jurisprudence in the 18th and 19th centuries but also addresses the broader implications of literary and artistic influences on legal thought. Many scholars have emphasized the intertextual relationships between various cultural forms, such as the impact of Italian opera on European music and theatre, which can be parallels drawn to the techniques in classical Islamic texts. The synthesis of artistic expression and jurisprudence can be seen in how legal principles were articulated and contextualized within the prevailing cultural narratives of the time. For instance, studies on the synthesis of singing and ballet reveal deeper connections between legal frameworks and artistic practices, highlighting a multidimensional understanding of cultural norms in society (Nazymchuk D)(Liu T). Therefore, this critical examination leads to a more nuanced appreciation of how classical texts remain relevant in contemporary discourse, particularly in the field of Islamic jurisprudence.

In the context of the 18th and 19th centuries, the role of fatwas and legal opinions emerged as pivotal in shaping Hanafi law, reflecting both traditional interpretations and adaptations to contemporary societal needs. These legal opinions, often issued by esteemed scholars, were instrumental in addressing new challenges posed by colonial influences and evolving social dynamics. For instance, the work of notable jurists during this period included responding to the complexities introduced by colonialism, which, as pointed out in the analysis of Central Asian Muslim responses to morality, significantly impacted legal thought and community standards (Sartori et al.). Furthermore, the influence of figures such as Sir William Jones, who translated and codified Islamic legal texts, contributed to the evolution of Hanafi jurisprudence by integrating Western concepts into the discourse, thereby reshaping the understanding of Islamic laws for colonial administration (Watkin et al.). Thus, fatwas served not only as legal instruments but also as crucial platforms for negotiating the intersection of Islamic tradition and modernity.

The analysis of manuscripts and archival materials is crucial in understanding Hanafi jurisprudence during the 18th and 19th centuries, as it reveals the socio-cultural dynamics that shaped legal thought within this period. By examining inheritance inventories, such as those recorded in Ottoman Sarajevo court registers, researchers can gain insight into the role of written texts in everyday life and their importance in judicial proceedings. As highlighted, one such detailed study includes kadi Şālih ‘Izzat Hromozāde, who donated his book collection, thereby illuminating the intertwining of literacy, education, and legal practices within Ottoman Bosnia (Zubcevic et al.). Furthermore, the impact of colonial influences, as explored in analyses of Central Asian Muslim communities, demonstrates how external forces redefined notions of



morality and jurisprudence, affecting the local interpretations of Hanafi law (Sartori et al.). Collectively, these resources underscore the significance of manuscript culture in understanding the complexities of Islamic legal history.

The utilization of secondary sources and scholarly interpretations is crucial in the examination of Hanafi jurisprudence during the 18th and 19th centuries, as these works contextualize and critically engage with primary texts. By systematically categorizing modern Islamic thought, as proposed in (Amin et al.), researchers can discern the dynamic shifts within Hanafi legal theories, particularly regarding contemporary revivalist movements. Furthermore, insights from (Taylor et al.) illuminate how Islamic jurisprudence has evolved in response to socio-economic challenges, thereby reshaping community engagement practices within the framework of Hanafi law. These secondary sources not only enhance our understanding of the historical context and ideological debates surrounding Hanafi jurisprudence but also provide a framework through which the broader implications of legal traditions on socio-cultural dynamics can be analyzed. Thus, the integration of scholarly interpretations plays a pivotal role in enriching the narrative of Hanafi jurisprudence in this transformative era.

Modern scholarship on Hanafi jurisprudence has evolved significantly, particularly in the context of the 18th and 19th centuries, reflecting diverse intellectual streams and sociopolitical dynamics. Scholars have increasingly recognized Hanafi jurisprudence as a crucial framework through which to analyze Islamic legal thought, especially in response to colonial encounters and modernity. The works of prominent figures such as Ibn Khaldun have been revisited, revealing their impact on contemporary interpretations of Hanafi law. For example, scholars have examined how Ibn Khaldun's views on the historical development of Islamic jurisprudence influenced both Muslim and Orientalist discourses, particularly regarding *ijtihad* and *taqlid* in navigating modern challenges ((Kızılkaya et al.)). Furthermore, institutions like madrasas have shown the interplay between state authority and religious scholarship, underscoring the complexity of Hanafi jurisprudence as a living legal tradition ((Tasar et al.)). This multifaceted approach allows for a deeper understanding of the evolution and application of Hanafi law in modern contexts.

The interpretations of contemporary scholars concerning Hanafi jurisprudence in the 18th and 19th centuries reveal a complex interplay between traditional frameworks and modern thought processes. Scholars have engaged in critical examinations of how historical contexts influenced Hanafi legal thought, asserting that contemporary interpretations may often overlook the dynamic nature of jurisprudential evolution. For instance, as articulated by the argument surrounding the rational thinking within contemporary *pesantren*, there is an observed shift towards *burhānī* epistemology, suggesting that the traditional frameworks of *bayānī* and *irfanī* reasoning may no longer sufficiently address modern complexities in Islamic legal contexts (Ma A'rufi et al., p. 301-314). Similarly, the genealogical analyses of Hindu-Muslim tensions, particularly in how religious identities have been reshaped to fit colonial narratives, challenge simplistic understandings of Islamic law and its practice, indicating a need for deeper, nuanced scholarly engagement with these traditions (Basu A, p. 1034-1034). Thus, the richness of Hanafi jurisprudence necessitates an ongoing critical discourse that respects its historical foundation while acknowledging contemporary realities.

The utilization of secondary sources is critical in enriching our understanding of historical



contexts, particularly in examining Hanafi jurisprudence during the 18th and 19th centuries. These sources, through comprehensive literature reviews and descriptive methodologies, provide frameworks for analyzing the evolution of Islamic thought within specific geographical and temporal confines. For instance, the categorization of Islamic intellectual movements—such as revivalist and neo-modernist approaches—offers insightful perspectives on how Hanafi jurisprudence was influenced by contemporary legal and cultural shifts in the region (Amin et al.). Moreover, secondary sources elucidate the historical interplay between tradition and modernity, showcasing how the long-standing legal practices were adapted in response to modern nation-state dynamics and Western influences (Mallat et al.). Thus, integrating these analyses not only deepens the contextual comprehension of Hanafi law but also emphasizes the complex tapestry of legal, social, and intellectual transformations that shaped this jurisprudential school.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the analysis of sources on Hanafi jurisprudence in the 18th and 19th centuries reveals the intricate dynamics of legal thought and the adaptation of Islamic law amidst a rapidly changing socio-political landscape. Scholars such as Ibn Khaldun provided a foundational framework for understanding the historical development of Islamic law, enhancing discourse within both Muslim and Orientalist scholarship, as highlighted in (Kızılkaya et al.). This period not only necessitated reinterpretation and renewal of legal thought through *ijtihad* but also faced the challenges of integrating pre-existing legal traditions with emerging modern state governance, as examined in (M Moran et al.). Thus, the study of Hanafi jurisprudence from this era is critical, as it illustrates the resilience and adaptability of Islamic legal traditions, providing valuable insights into contemporary legal challenges faced by Muslim societies today. The ongoing dialogue among scholars underscores the significance of understanding this historical context to address current debates in Islamic jurisprudence effectively.

The analysis of sources on Hanafi jurisprudence during the 18th and 19th centuries reveals several pivotal findings that underscore the complexities of legal thought in this period. Firstly, the interaction between traditional Islamic legal principles and the burgeoning influence of Western legal frameworks significantly impacted Hanafi jurisprudence, leading to a dynamic exchange of ideas and practices. The historical context of religious texts, evident in laws derived from both the Quran and the evolving socio-political landscape, exemplifies this duality. Additionally, the constitutions of Afghanistan during this era highlight the struggle for legal modernization as attempts were made to balance Islamic tenets with emerging concepts of governance and rule of law (Hayden et al.). This interplay reflects a broader pattern in the region where established traditions coexisted with the pressures of modernization, illustrating how Hanafi jurisprudence was both a product of its historical legacy and a response to contemporary challenges (Mallat et al.).

The implications for the study of Islamic jurisprudence during the 18th and 19th centuries are profound, particularly when considering the evolving interpretations within the Hanafi school. For instance, practices such as child marriage, which are rooted in specific readings of Islamic texts, present ethical dilemmas that call for a reevaluation of traditional legal interpretations. The case of child brides in Northern Nigeria highlights that while theological justifications may exist,



the realities of consent and autonomy raise critical questions about adherence to contemporary human rights standards (Iyabode et al.). Additionally, movements aimed at modernizing Islamic thought, like the Aligarh Movement spearheaded by Sayyid Ahmad Khan, showcase attempts to reconcile traditional jurisprudential frameworks with modern societal needs, thereby influencing legal discourse significantly (Mohomed et al.). These dynamics underscore the necessity for a nuanced understanding of Hanafi jurisprudence as it interacts with modernity, urging scholars to critically analyze sources in this context.

Future research on Hanafi jurisprudence should consider an interdisciplinary approach that incorporates contemporary sociological frameworks, as outlined by the new sociology of knowledge. This perspective can reveal the complexities of Hanafi practices in the context of modern Muslim societies, particularly where cultural conflicts arise, as seen in the ongoing religious debates within communities in Bangladesh. For instance, the emergence of reformist groups challenging traditional Hanafi practices, which often invoke established Islamic texts, highlights the necessity for in-depth analysis of how Hanafi scholars negotiate their beliefs in contemporary debates ((Yarrington et al.)). Moreover, examining the historical transformations within Ottoman legal education can provide valuable insights into how Hanafi jurisprudence has adapted over time, particularly under external pressures during the late Ottoman period ((Nur et al.)). Addressing these aspects will deepen our understanding of Hanafi jurisprudence's relevance and adaptability in a rapidly changing socio-political landscape.

References

1. Dariia Nazymchuk. "European opera classics in the mirror of Spanish zarzuela" Scientific herald of Tchaikovsky National Music Academy of Ukraine, 2024, doi: <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/913f1233b9a375037ca309e559aab5b1fcd36a64>
2. Ting Liu. "The interpretative function of singing in the "score" of a modern ballet performance" Problems of Interaction Between Arts, Pedagogy and the Theory and Practice of Education, 2022, doi: <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/a45545cc54e4704e43d485bdf675439392a3bdf2>
3. Ahmad Alshammari, Othman Altwijry, Andul-Hamid Abdul-Wahab. "Takaful: chronology of establishment in 47 countries" PSU Research Review, 2023, 671-705. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1108/prr-02-2022-0022>
4. Anustup Basu. "Orientalism's Hinduism, Orientalism's Islam, and the Twilight of the Subcontinental Imagination" Religions, 2023, 1034-1034. doi: <https://doi.org/10.3390/rel14081034>
5. Kızılkaya, Necmettin. "İslam hukuk tarihini İbn Haldun üzerinden okumak: İbn Haldun'un modern dönem İslam hukuk tarihi yazıcılığına etkileri" 'Journal of Ibn Haldun Studies, Ibn Haldun University', 2016, doi: <https://core.ac.uk/download/197623013.pdf>
6. Tasar, Eren. "The Official Madrasas of Soviet Uzbekistan" Brill Academic Publishers, 2016, doi: <https://core.ac.uk/download/619689599.pdf>
7. Kızılkaya, Necmettin. "İslam hukuk tarihini İbn Haldun üzerinden okumak: İbn Haldun'un modern dönem İslam hukuk tarihi yazıcılığına etkileri" 'Journal of Ibn Haldun Studies, Ibn Haldun University', 2016, doi: <https://core.ac.uk/download/197623013.pdf>



8. Tasar, Eren. "The Official Madrasas of Soviet Uzbekistan" Brill Academic Publishers, 2016, doi: <https://core.ac.uk/download/619689599.pdf>
9. Tasar, Eren. "The Official Madrasas of Soviet Uzbekistan" Brill Academic Publishers, 2016, doi: <https://core.ac.uk/download/619689599.pdf>
10. Kızılkaya, Necmettin. "İslam hukuk tarihini İbn Haldun üzerinden okumak: İbn Haldun'un modern dönem İslam hukuk tarihi yazıcılığına etkileri" 'Journal of Ibn Haldun Studies, Ibn Haldun University', 2016, doi: <https://core.ac.uk/download/197623013.pdf>
11. Tasar, Eren. "The Official Madrasas of Soviet Uzbekistan" Brill Academic Publishers, 2016, doi: <https://core.ac.uk/download/619689599.pdf>
12. Kızılkaya, Necmettin. "İslam hukuk tarihini İbn Haldun üzerinden okumak: İbn Haldun'un modern dönem İslam hukuk tarihi yazıcılığına etkileri" 'Journal of Ibn Haldun Studies, Ibn Haldun University', 2016, doi: <https://core.ac.uk/download/197623013.pdf>
13. Kızılkaya, Necmettin. "İslam hukuk tarihini İbn Haldun üzerinden okumak: İbn Haldun'un modern dönem İslam hukuk tarihi yazıcılığına etkileri" 'Journal of Ibn Haldun Studies, Ibn Haldun University', 2016, doi: <https://core.ac.uk/download/197623013.pdf>
14. Swazo, Norman. "Against State Censorship of Thought and Speech: The "Mandate of Philosophy" contra Islamist Ideology" 2018, doi: <https://core.ac.uk/download/187718090.pdf>
15. Amin, Faizal. "The Typologies of Islamic Thought: Mapping The Contemporary Intellectual Movements in Indonesia" The Faculty of Islamic Theology and Humanities, UIN Walisongo Semarang, Indonesia, 2024, doi: <https://core.ac.uk/download/613212598.pdf>
16. Mallat, Chibli. "From Islamic to Middle Eastern Law A Restatement of the Field (Part I)" American Society of Comparative Law, Inc. (ASCL), 2004, doi: <https://core.ac.uk/download/276281419.pdf>
17. Syah, M. Noor Sulaiman. "MUSLIMWORLD: HISTORICAL, POLITICAL, AND SOCIO-CULTURAL PERSPECTIVE" IAIN Kudus, 2016, doi: <https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/295525931.pdf>
18. Mohomed, Carimo. "Formation of Muslim Elites in British India: Sayyid Ahmad Khan and the Muhammadan Anglo-Oriental College" Universidade Católica Portuguesa, Centro de Estudos de História Religiosa, 2012, doi: <https://core.ac.uk/download/480541174.pdf>
19. Anwar Ma'rufi, Saifudin Saifudin, Khorun Nisa', Muhajir Muhajir. "Burhani Epistemology in The Scientific Development of Contemporary Pesantren" Tafkir Interdisciplinary Journal of Islamic Education, 2024, 301-314. doi: <https://doi.org/10.31538/tijie.v5i2.937>
20. Anustup Basu. "Orientalism's Hinduism, Orientalism's Islam, and the Twilight of the Subcontinental Imagination" Religions, 2023, 1034-1034. doi: <https://doi.org/10.3390/rel14081034>
21. Zubcevic, Asim. "Book ownership in Ottoman Sarajevo 1707-1828" Leiden Institute for Area Studies (LIAS), Faculty of Humanities, Leiden University, 2015, doi: <https://core.ac.uk/download/388663666.pdf>
22. Sartori, Paolo. "Visions of Justice" 'Brill', 2017, doi: <https://core.ac.uk/download/478113060.pdf>
23. Iyabode, O. "Child Bride and Child Sex: Combating Child Marriages in Nigeria" Dept. of International Law & Jurisprudence, Law Faculty, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, 2012, doi: <https://core.ac.uk/download/478445182.pdf>



24. Mohomed, Carimo. "Education and community consciousness among the muslims of British India" 'Revista Historia e Cultura', 2015, doi: <https://core.ac.uk/download/236070237.pdf>
25. Tasar, Eren. "The Official Madrasas of Soviet Uzbekistan" Brill Academic Publishers, 2016, doi: <https://core.ac.uk/download/619689599.pdf>
26. Kızılkaya, Necmettin. "İslam hukuk tarihini İbn Haldun üzerinden okumak: İbn Haldun'un modern dönem İslam hukuk tarihi yazıcılığına etkileri" 'Journal of Ibn Haldun Studies, Ibn Haldun University', 2016, doi: <https://core.ac.uk/download/197623013.pdf>
27. Kızılkaya, Necmettin. "İslam hukuk tarihini İbn Haldun üzerinden okumak: İbn Haldun'un modern dönem İslam hukuk tarihi yazıcılığına etkileri" 'Journal of Ibn Haldun Studies, Ibn Haldun University', 2016, doi: <https://core.ac.uk/download/197623013.pdf>
28. M. Moran, Gloria. "The Development of Laws and Jurisprudence in Islam: Religious and Imperial Legacies" Università degli Studi di Milano, 2020, doi: <https://core.ac.uk/download/349756609.pdf>
29. Mallat, Chibli. "From Islamic to Middle Eastern Law A Restatement of the Field (Part I)" American Society of Comparative Law, Inc. (ASCL), 2004, doi: <https://core.ac.uk/download/276281419.pdf>
30. Hayden, Jeffrey T.. "For ourselves and the generations to come : constitutional law in Afghanistan, 1964-2004" 2023, doi: <https://core.ac.uk/download/593108053.pdf>
31. Tasar, Eren. "The Official Madrasas of Soviet Uzbekistan" Brill Academic Publishers, 2016, doi: <https://core.ac.uk/download/619689599.pdf>
32. Mallat, Chibli. "From Islamic to Middle Eastern Law A Restatement of the Field (Part I)" American Society of Comparative Law, Inc. (ASCL), 2004, doi: <https://core.ac.uk/download/276281419.pdf>
33. Amin, Faizal. "The Typologies of Islamic Thought: Mapping The Contemporary Intellectual Movements in Indonesia" The Faculty of Islamic Theology and Humanities, UIN Walisongo Semarang, Indonesia, 2024, doi: <https://core.ac.uk/download/613212598.pdf>
34. Taylor, Christopher Brennan. "Islamic charity in India: ethical entrepreneurism & the ritual, revival, and reform of zakat among a muslim minority" 2015, doi: https://open.bu.edu/bitstream/2144/13993/9/Taylor_bu_0017E_11331_WEB.pdf
35. Yarrington, Matthew D. "Lived Islam in Bangladesh: contemporary religious discourse between Ahl-i-Hadith, "Hanafis" and authoritative texts, with special reference to al-barzakh" The University of Edinburgh, 2010, doi: <https://core.ac.uk/download/429728714.pdf>
36. Nur, Abdurrahman. "Disciplinary transformation of late Ottoman legal education (1826-1926) : an interactional field analysis" 2015, doi: <https://core.ac.uk/download/38326443.pdf>
37. M. Moran, Gloria. "The Development of Laws and Jurisprudence in Islam: Religious and Imperial Legacies" Università degli Studi di Milano, 2020, doi: <https://core.ac.uk/download/349756609.pdf>
38. Taylor, Christopher Brennan. "Islamic charity in India: ethical entrepreneurism & the ritual, revival, and reform of zakat among a muslim minority" 2015, doi: https://open.bu.edu/bitstream/2144/13993/9/Taylor_bu_0017E_11331_WEB.pdf
39. Sartori, Paolo. "Visions of Justice" 'Brill', 2017, doi: <https://core.ac.uk/download/478113060.pdf>
40. Watkin, Owen. "Sir William Jones (1746-1794) and Islamic studies." 2013, doi: <https://core.ac.uk/download/96773493.pdf>

